



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/509,862

01/03/2005

Barry Loines

UDL18.001APC

4319

20995

7590

04/11/2008

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

CHEN, JOSE V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3637

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/11/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcarter@kmob.com

eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/509,862	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LOINES, BARRY	
	<b>Examiner</b> José V. Chen	<b>Art Unit</b> 3637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) José V. Chen.

(3) Neil Bartfeld (39901).

(2) Daniel E. Altman (34115).

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: All of record, particularly Towfigh, Frascaroli.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was directed to the limitations that applic felt distinguished over the prior art of record, particularly to the locking mechanism. Any amendments would be reviewed for support in the specification. Appplic will file an amendment with remarks regarding such. No agreement was reached at this time...

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/José V. Chen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required